

SECRET

Journal - Office of Legislative Counsel
Tuesday - 19 June 1973

Page 3

25X1

25X1A

25X1A

11. [] Mrs. Rowe, in the office of Representative John Myers (R., Ind.), called and requested an employment interview for a constituent, [] be set up for Tuesday or Wednesday of next week. After checking with Office of Personnel, I advised her that an appointment has been scheduled for Tuesday, 26 June, at 10:00 with []

25X1

25X1A

25X1A

12. [] Met with Jim Woolsey, Senate Armed Services Committee staff, and delivered two copies of the corrected and sanitized transcripts of testimony of Agency witnesses before the Committee on 11, 14, 17 and 23 May 1973. Woolsey agreed to deletions involving [] and will advise us whether we can strike the testimony completely or merely the names. I also reviewed with him some of the points against publication including the irony that the Committee which was reviewing the Agency's role to determine compliance with existing law would be revealing information on organization, personnel, and methods of operation inconsistent with existing law; the undermining of the credibility of the Agency's capability to protect sources and methods; and the opportunity for distorting our testimony because of security deletions. I told Woolsey that we have two followup items to deliver, one concerning the use of disguises, and the other concerning Mr. Helms' comments for Senator Symington on the 23 May testimony on the McCord letters.

25X1

13. [] Talked with Ed Braswell, Chief Counsel, and Jack Ticer, Chief Clerk, Senate Armed Services Committee, about Mr. Colby's financial holdings. Braswell said that under the circumstances all that would be needed would be a letter from Mr. Colby to the Chairman stating the names of the companies in which he owns shares of stock and a statement indicating that the Agency has no contracts nor does it do business with either of these companies. Normally a statement from the General Counsel would also be required regarding possible conflict of interest but in this instance it is not necessary. (We are preparing a letter along the lines he suggested.)

SECRET